

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/812,417	Applicant(s) AGARWAL ET AL.		
	Examiner MICHAEL PHAM	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%;">Art Unit 2167</td> <td style="width: 50%;"></td> </tr> </table>	Art Unit 2167	
Art Unit 2167				

All Participants:

(1) MICHAEL PHAM.

(2) _____

Date of Interview: 28 December 2008

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed: _____

Claims discussed: _____

Prior art documents discussed: _____

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Proposed amendments were discussed and authorization for an examiner's amendment to be made was authorized.

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: _____

(3) Leila R. Abdi (reg. 52,399).

(4) _____

Time: _____

/M. P./

Examiner, Art Unit 2167

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)